Serial No. 10/529,773 Amendment dated February 25, 2008

Reply to Office Action of 8/24/2007

Docket No.: 66343-005-7

## <u>REMARKS</u>

By this Amendment the specification has been amended to improve its presentation and the claims have been amended to better define the inventive subject matter.

In the outstanding Office Action the examiner has rejected claims 10, 13-33 and 35 under 35 U.S.C. 112 as failing to properly define the invention.

By this Amendment these claims have been amended to overcome the examiner's criticisms.

The examiner has rejected claims 1, 3-18, 21-26, 28, 29 and 31-33 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harris et al., and he has rejected claims 19, 20 and 30 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et al.

These rejections must be withdrawn. Harris et al. disclose a security device for cart wheel, but the cart wheel does not include two wheel members which are separated by a body member that defines a peripheral groove or slot along which a braking member moves from a first inoperative position out of contact with the wheel to a second operative position in contact with the wheel.

The examiner's rejections should be withdrawn.

The examiner has rejected claims 34 and 35 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et al. in view of GB 2379804.

Serial No. 10/529,773 Amendment dated February 25, 2008 Reply to Office Action of 8/24/2007

Docket No.: 66343-005-7

However, the British patent cannot overcome the deficiencies in the examiner's reliance on Harris et al.

Favorable reevaluation is requested.

A supplemental page 16 for this application containing an abstract of the disclosure is submitted herewith.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Richard H. Tushin

Registration No. 27,297

Franklin Square, Third Floor West

1300 I Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20005-3353

(202) 906-8680